Docker/OCI Images - Best Practices and Tools

- · Project description:
- Scope:
- · Out of scope:
- Architecture Alignment:
 - S3P:
 - Portability:
- Other Information:
- Project Proposal Deck
- · Key Project Facts:
- Release Components Name:
- · Resources committed to the Release:
- sylvain.desbureaux@orange.com

Project Name:

• Proposed name for the project: Docker/OCI Images - Best Practices and Tools

Project description:

Container technology is a popular packaging method for developers and system administrators to build, ship and run distributed applications.

Seventy percent of respondents to a 2017's survey indicated that the existence of tools to accelerate container development is one of the key factors that drives container adoption.

The same is true for the availability of best practices. That's because Image-based container technology requires a disciplined approach to development and writing Dockerfiles and defining containerized applications can become rather complex.

Because has ONAP has adopted Docker technology, the design of good images ultimately boils down to the crafting of good Dockerfiles.

This project takes a communal approach to capturing and developing best practices, guidance, recommendations and common tools for the design of images built using Dockerfiles.

Scope:

This project will develop a specification for the recommended structure of Dockerfiles based on industry best practices

The following are the outcomes of the project:

- Best practices for the design of space-efficient and scalable images built using Dockerfiles
- Best practices for crafting Dockerfiles
- Best practices for the development of platform-agnostic images
- Dockerfile templates to
 - Capture, automate and share experience across projects
 - Reduce duplication
 - Jumpstart image development
 - Optimize resource consumption
 - Develop vendor-agnostic images
- New Docker builder patterns and tools
 - Explore and issue recommendation on the use of different front-ends
 - Evaluate and issue recommendations on the use of BuildKit

Out of scope:

This project doesn't tackle the design or architecture of microservices-based applications.

Having said that, the project acknowledges the synergy and expects to collaborate with ONAP projects such as the Microservices project, OOM and Integration.

Architecture Alignment:

The goals of this project are in perfect alignment with ONAP's architecture principles and architecture quality attributes.

In particular, this projects suppoorts the themes adopted for Beijing and Casablanca: Platform Maturity Requirements (S3P) and Portability

S3P:

Quality Attribute	Benefit of Image Design Best Practices
Scalability	Resource constrained images (small & fast) facilitate single and multiple ONAP instance horizontal scaling.
Manageability	Efficient, small images reduce transport, instantiation time and upgrade time.
Usability	 Dockerfile templates save time and resources by increasing re-usability and reducing development and testing cycles. Re-usable base images reduce project jumpstart overhead. Multi-platform containers based on official multi-cpu architecture base images allows operators to choose among a larger number of cloud/infrastructure providers.
Security	Images built using the latest official base images reduce the risk of deploying containers with vulnerabilities.

Portability:

Software portability is the ability to use the same software artifacts (images/containers) in different environments.

For ONAP aims at enabling deployments of the orchestration platform and VNFs in different cloud infrastructure providers.

Furthermore, cloud infrastructure providers may choose among variety of hardware/server providers with different OS and cpu architectures.

Having best practices and Dockerfile templates that simplify the use of official multi-platform (OS, CPU) base images makes ONAP portable by enabing ONAP deployment in different environments.

Other Information:

- Vendor Neutral
- Meets Board policy (including IPR)

Use the above information to create a key project facts section on your project page

Project Proposal Deck

Project proposal deck is available here.

Key Project Facts:

Primary contact: Adolfo Perez-Duran

Facts	Info
PTL (first and last name)	
Jira Project Name	Container Images
Jira Key	Container Images
Project ID	cia
Link to Wiki Space	

Release Components Name:

Note: refer to existing project for details on how to fill out this table

Components Name	Components Repository name	Maven Group ID	p ID Components Description	
Dockerfile templates	oparent/cia/templates	org.onap.cia.templates	Dockerfile templates	
Docker templates processor	oparent/cia/processors	org.onap.cia.processors	Code to consume templates and generate Dockerfiles	
Image design best practices	oparent/cia/docs	org.onap.cia.docs	Best practices and guidelines (read-the-docs)	

Resources committed to the Release:

Note 1: No more than 5 committers per project. Balance the committers list and avoid members representing only one company. Ensure there is at least 3 companies supporting your proposal.

Note 2: It is critical to complete all the information requested, that will help to fast forward the onboarding process.

Role	First Name Last Name	Linux Foundation ID	Email Address	Location
PTL				
Committers	Adolfo Perez-Duran	ado496	adolfo.perez-duran@oamtechnologies.com	Boulder, CO, USA (GMT-06:00)
	Bin lu	lubinsz	Bin.Lu@arm.com	China
	Sylvain Desbureaux	sdesbure	sylvain.desbureaux@orange.com	France, (GMT+1)
	Tal Liron	tal.liron	tliron@redhat.com	Chicago, USA (GMT-05:00)
	Frank Sandoval	sandovalfrOAM	frank.sandoval@oamtechnologies.com	Denver, CO, USA (GMT-06:00)
Contributors	Helen Chen			
	Tianon Gravi		tianon@infosiftr.com	
	Ran Pollak		ran.pollak@amdocs.com	IL(GMT +2)
	Ash Young	im2bz2pee	ash@cachengo.com	Chicago, USA (GMT-05:00)
	Michael O'Brien	michaelobrien	frank.obrien@amdocs.com	Ottawa ON, Canada (GMT-4)